

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
Northern District of California

NATALIE MARTEL

No. C 11-02961 MEJ

Plaintiff(s),

v.

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO  
FILE CONSENT/DECLINATION  
FORM**

MICHAEL J ASTRUE

Defendant(s).

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's in forma pauperis application. Upon review of the record in this action, the Court notes that Plaintiff has not filed a written consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction jurisdiction. This civil case was randomly assigned to the undersigned magistrate judge for all purposes including trial. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the magistrate judges of this district court are designated to conduct any and all proceedings in a civil case, including trial and entry of final judgment, upon the consent of the parties. An appeal from a judgment entered by a magistrate judge may be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in the same manner as an appeal from any other judgment of a district court.

You have the right to have your case assigned to a United States District Judge for trial and disposition. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall inform the Court whether she consents to magistrate judge jurisdiction or requests reassignment to a United States District Judge for trial. A copy of both the consent and declination forms may be obtained from the Northern District of California's website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/>. From the homepage, choose "Forms" and then "Civil Forms." The consent/declination form shall be filed by July 5, 2011.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 17, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maria-Elena James  
Chief United States Magistrate Judge